arXiv:1002.4678v1 [math.AT] 25 Feb 2010

NOTE ON THE CALCULATION OF GROEBNER-SHIRSHOV BASES FOR AFFINE WEYL GROUPS

CENAP ÖZEL[1], ADEM KILIÇMAN[2] & EROL YILMAZ[1]

Abstract. In this work we will consider the calculation of Groebner-Shirshov bases of Coxeter groups. This will be the main focus of the work. In [4], Bokut & Shiao gave the Groebner-Shirshov bases of positive definite classical Coxeter groups A_l, B_l, D_l by using the techniques of Elimination of Leading Word.
We will give a counter example to a hypothesis which is introduced by Bokut & Shiao in [4] and we will calculate the Groebner-Shirshov bases of the positive degenerate infinite affine Weyl group $\widetilde{A}_n$ which is isomorphic to semi-direct product group $\Sigma_n \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$, and further we classify all the reduced elements of the group by using the Composition Diamond Lemma.

1. Introduction

The Grobner basis theory for commutative algebras was introduced by Buchberger [3] and provides a solution to the reduction problem for commutative algebras. It is also known an effective algorithm of computing a set of generators for a given ideal of a commutative ring which further can be used to determine the reduced elements with respect to the relations given by the ideal. Later in [2], Bergman generalized the Grobner basis theory to associative algebras by proving the *Diamond Lemma* which is the key ingredient in the theory and also equivalent to the so-called *Composition Lemma* which characterizes the leading terms of elements in the given ideal, see [9].

First of all we recall some facts about non-commutative Grobner bases which are also known in the literature as Grobner-Shirshov bases (see, for example, [7] and [8]).

Let S be a linearly ordered set, k is a field, $k\langle S\rangle$ is the formal free associative algebra over S and k where generators are the letters in the totally ordered set S. Further we let S^* be the set of words which are obtained from letters in S performing free product.

Now suppose that the words in S^* are degree-lexicographic ordered.i.e. comparing two words first by lengths and then lexicographically.

Definition 1.1. *Any polynomial $f \in k\langle S\rangle$ is a linear sum of words in S^*.*

In this section the words plays the crucial roles similar to the role of monomials. Since any polynomial in $f \in k\langle S\rangle$ consists of finitely many words and the degree-lexicographic order is total, any polynomial $f \in k\langle S\rangle$ has a leading word. Let $\bar{f}$ be the leading word of f.

Definition 1.2. *If $\bar{f}$ in f has a coefficient 1 then we say that f is called* monic.

Definition 1.3 (*Composition of Intersection*)**.** *A composition of intersection of two monic polynomials relative to some word w is defined as $(f,g)_w = fb - ag$ whenever $w = \bar{f}b = a\bar{g}$, $deg(\bar{f}) + deg(\bar{g}) > deg(w)$.*

Definition 1.4 (*Composition of Including*)**.** *A composition of including $(f,g)_w$ of two monic polynomials relative to some word w is defined as $(f,g)_w = f - agb$ whenever $w = \bar{f} = a\bar{g}b$.*

Definition 1.5 (*Elimination of the Leading Word*)**.** *In the last case $(f,g)_w = f - agb$ is called the* elimination of the leading word (ELW) of g in f.

Definition 1.6 (*Trivial Relative*)**.** *A composition $(f,g)_w$ is called* trivial relative *to some $R \subset k\langle X\rangle$ if $(f,g)_w = \sum \alpha_i a_i t_i b_i$, where $t_i \in R$, $a_i, b_i \in S^*$ and $a_i\bar{t}_ib_i < w$.*

In particular, if $(f, g)_w$ goes to zero by the ELW 's of R then $(f, g)_w$ is trivial relative to R.

Definition 1.7 (*Groebner-Shirshov Bases*)**.** *A* Groebner-Shirshov basis *is a subset R of $k\langle S\rangle$ if any composition of polynomials from R is trivial relative to R.*

By the algebra $\langle S|R\rangle$ with generators S and defining relations R, we mean the *factor algebra* of $k\langle S\rangle$ by the ideal generated by R. The following lemma goes back to the *Diamond Lemma* of [7] and is also known as the *Composition Lemma* of [8].

Lemma 1.8 (*Composition-Diamond Lemma*)**.** *R is a Groebner-Shirshov basis if and only if the set*

$$\{u \in S^*|u \neq a\bar{f}b,\ \forall f \in R\}$$

of R reduced words, consists of a linear basis of the algebra $\langle S|R\rangle$.

Note that if a subset R of $k\langle S\rangle$ is not a Groebner-Shirshov basis then we can add all non-trivial compositions of polynomials of R to R and by continuing this process (possibly infinitely) many times in order to get a Groebner-Shirshov basis S^{comp} that contains R. This procedure is called *Buchberger-Shirshov Algorithm*, for more details, see [3].

Definition 1.9 (*Reduced Groebner-Shirshov Basis*)**.** *A Groebner-Shirshov basis is called* reduced *if any $s \in S$ is a linear combination of $S \setminus \{\{s\}-$ reduced words $\}$.*

We also note that any ideal of $k\langle S\rangle$ has a unique reduced Groebner-Shirshov basis. Now let R be a set of *semigroup relations* i.e.

$$\{u - v :\ u, v \in S^*\},$$

then any nontrivial composition will have the same form. Thus the set s^{comp} also consists of semigroup relations.

Let $A = \mathrm{smg}\langle S|R\rangle$ be a semigroup presentation. Then R is a subset of $k\langle S\rangle$ and we can find a Groebner-Shirshov basis s^{comp}. The last set doesn't depend on k, and it consist of semigroup relations. we will call R^{comp} a Groebner-Shirshov basis of A. It is the same as Groebner-Shirshov basis of the semigroup algebra $kA = \langle S|R\rangle$.

The same terminology is valid for any group presentation meaning that we include in this presentation all trivial group relations of the form $ss^{-1} = 1$, $s^{-1}s = 1$, $s \in S$.

In the following sections we will consider the calculation of Groebner-Shirshov basis of Weyl groups and this will be the main focus of the present work. In [4], Bokut & Shiao gave the Groebner-Shirshov basis of positive definite classical Weyl groups A_l, B_l, D_l by using the techniques of *Composition Diamond Lemma* and *Elimination of Leading Word*. In the next section we will give the Groebner-Shirshov bases of Weyl groups A_l, B_l, D_l. In the last two sections of the work we will give a counter-example to the hypothesis that was introduced by Bokut & Shiao in [4] and later we will calculate the Groebner-Shirshov basis of the positive degenerate infinite affine Weyl group $\widetilde{A}_n$ which is isomorphic to semi-direct product group $\Sigma_n \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$. Finally, we give reduced elements of $\widetilde{A}_n$.

2. Calculations for finite Weyl Groups

From [4] we have the following results.

2.1. The Weyl Group A_l.

Theorem 2.1. *The Weyl group A_l is generated by $\{s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_l\}$ and $s_{i,j} = s_i s_{i-1} \ldots s_j$, where $i > j$; and $s_{i,i} = s_i$, $s_{i,i+1} = 1$ with defining relations;*

$A1 :\ {s_i}^2 = 1 \dashrightarrow s_i s_i = 1 \quad where \quad 1 \leq i < l,$
$A2 :\ s_i s_j = s_j s_i \quad where \quad i - j > 1,$
$A3 :\ s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1} = s_i s_{i+1} s_i \quad where \quad 1 \leq i \leq l-1,$
$A4 :\ s_{i+1,j} s_{i+1} = s_i s_{i+1,j} \quad where \quad 1 \leq j \leq i.$

So the Groebner-Shirshov basis for A_l consists of the relations that which was given by $A1 - A4$.

2.2. **The Weyl Group B_l.**

Theorem 2.2. *The Weyl group* B_l *is generated by* s_i, $1 \le i \le l$ *and* $s_{i,j} = s_i s_{i-1} \dots s_j$, *where* $i > j$*; and* $s_{i,i} = s_i$, $s_{i,i+1} = 1$ *with defining relations;*

*B*1: $s_i{}^2 = 1 \dashrightarrow s_i s_i = 1$, *where* $1 \le i \le l$ *and* $i - j > 1$,
*B*2: $s_i s_j = s_j s_i$, *where* $i - j > 1$,
*B*3: $s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1} = s_i s_{i+1} s_i$, *where* $1 \le i \le l - 2$,
*B*4: $s_{i+1,j} s_{i+1} = s_i s_{i+1,j}$, *where* $j \le i \le l - 2$,
*B*5: $s_l s_{l-1} s_l s_{l-1} = s_{l-1} s_l s_{l-1} s_l$
*B*6: $s_{l,j} s_{l,j} = s_{l-1} s_{l,j} s_{l,j+1}$, *where* $j < l$.

So the Groebner-Shirshov basis for B_l *consists of the relations that given by* $B1 - B6$.

2.3. **The Weyl Grup D_l.**

Theorem 2.3. *The Weyl group* D_l *is generated by* s_i , $1 \le i \le l$ *together with defining relations* A_{l-1} *and* s_{ij} , $1 \le j \le i + 1 \le l$*; and* $s_{l,j} = s_l s_{l-2} \dots s_j$, *where* $j \le l - 2$*; and* $s_{l,l} = 1$, $s_{l,l-1} = s_l$.

*D*1: $s_{l,l} = 1 \dashrightarrow s_l s_l = 1$,
*D*2: $s_l s_{l-1} = s_{l-1} s_l$,
*D*3: $s_l s_{l-2} s_l = s_{l-2} s_l s_{l-2}$,
*D*4 : $s_{l,j} s_{l-1,j} = s_{l-1} s_{l,j} s_{l-1,j+1}$ *where* $j \le l - 2$,
*D*5: $s_{l,j} s_{l-1} s_l = s_{l-2} s_{l,j} s_{l-1}$ *where* $j \le l - 2$,
*D*6: $s_{l,j} s_{l-1,k} = s_{l-2} s_{l,j} s_{l-1,k} s_{l,k+1}$ *where* $j < k \le l - 2$,
*D*7: $s_{i,i} = 1 \dashrightarrow s_i s_i = 1$ *where* $1 \le i \le l - 1$,
*D*8: $s_i s_j = s_j s_i$ *where* $i - j > 2$,
*D*9: $s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1} = s_i s_{i+1} s_i$ *where* $1 \le i \le l - 2$,
*D*10 : $s_{i+1,j} s_{i+1} = s_i s_{i+1,j}$.

So the Groebner-Shirshov basis for D_l *consists of the relations given by* $D1 - D10$ *and relations of* A_{l-1}.

3. A Counter-example to Hypothesis of Bokut& Shiao.

In [4] they formulate a general hypothesis on Groebner-Shirshov bases for any Coxeter group. In the next we will provide a counter example.

Let W be a Coxeter group, S is a linear ordered set with l-generators, and $M = [m_{ss'}]$ be a $l \times l$ Coxeter matrix associated with W. Then we have

$$W = \text{smg}\langle s^2 = 1,\ (ss')^{m_{ss'}} = 1,\ s \neq s',\ \forall s, s' \in S \text{ and finite } m_{ss'} \rangle.$$

Now define for finite $m_{ss'}$,

$$m(s, s') = ss'... \quad \text{(there are } m_{ss'} \text{ alternative letters } s, s'\text{)},$$
$$(m-1)(s, s') = ss'... \quad \text{(there are } m_{ss'} - 1 \text{ alternative letters } s, s'\text{)},$$

and so on. In particular, if $m_{ss'} = 2$ then $m(s, s') = ss', (m-1)(s, s') = s$. Similarly, if $m_{ss'} = 3$, then $m(s, s') = ss's, (m-1)(s, s') = ss'$ where $m_{ii} = 1$ that is $(s_i)^2 = 1$ for all i; thus the generators are involutions.

Now if $m_{ij} = 2$, then the generators s_i and s_j commute thus the notation to define the relations of W can be presented in the form

$$s^2 = 1,\ m(s, s') = m(s', s),\ s > s', \quad (*)$$

for all $s, s' \in S$ and finite $m_{ss'}$.

Definition 3.1. *Two words in* S *are* equivalent *if they are equal module commutativity relations from* $(*)$.

To be more precise, it means that they are equal in the so called free partially commutative semigroup (algebra)generated by S and with commutativity relations from $(*)$. Later on the word problem can be solved in this semigroup(or algebra).

Definition 3.2. *Two relations* $a = b$ *and* $c = d$ *of* W *are called* equivalent *if* a, b *are equivalent to* c, d *respectively.*

3.1. **The Hypothesis.** The Groebner-Shirshov bases of W consist of initial relations $(*)$ and relations that are equivalent to the following ones:

$$\begin{aligned}&(m-1)(s,s')(m-1)(s_{i_1},s_{i_2})...(m-1)(s_{i_{2k-1}},s_{i_{2k}})m(s_{i_{2k+1}}s_{i_{2k+2}})\\ &= m(s',s)(m-1)(s_{i_1},s_{i_2})...(m-1)(s_{i_{2k-1}},s_{i_{2k}})(m-1)(s_{i_{2k+1}}s_{i_{2k+2}}), \quad (**)\end{aligned}$$

where $s > s'$, $s_{i_1} < s_{i_2}, ..., s_{i_{2k-1}} < s_{i2k}, s_{i_{2k+1}} < s_{i_{2k+2}}$, and any neighbor pairs $(s',s)(s_{i_1},s_{i_2}), ..., (s_{i_{2k+1}}s_{i_{2k+2}})$ are different, and

$$\begin{cases} s_{i_2} = s', & \text{if } m_{ss'} \text{ is even} \\ s_{i_2} = s, & \text{if } m_{ss'} \text{ is odd} \\ \quad \cdots & \cdots \quad \cdots \\ s_{i_{2k+2}} = s_{i_{2k}}, & \text{if } m_{s_{2k-1}s_{2k}} \text{ is even} \\ s_{i_{2k+2}} = s_{i_{2k-1}}, & \text{if } m_{s_{2k-1}s_{2k}} \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

In [4], It was pointed out that the hypothesis holds for Groebner-Shirshov bases for finite Coxeter groups. In the following we point out that the hypothesis not necessarily correct. Now consider the Coxeter matrix $A_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. By using above notation $s_is_i = 1$ for $i = 1,2,3,4$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &m(s_2,s_1) = s_2s_1s_2 = m(s_1,s_2) = s_1s_2s_1 \quad \text{since} \quad m_{21} = m_{12} = 3 \\ &m(s_3,s_2) = s_3s_2s_3 = m(s_2,s_3) = s_2s_3s_2 \quad \text{since} \quad m_{32} = m_{23} = 3 \\ &m(s_3,s_1) = s_3s_1 = m(s_1,s_3) = s_1s_3 \quad \text{since} \quad m_{31} = m_{13} = 2 \text{ and} \\ &m(s_4,s_3) = s_4s_3s_4 = m(s_3,s_4) = s_3s_4s_3 \\ &m(s_4,s_2) = s_4s_2 = m(s_2,s_4) = s_2s_4 \\ &m(s_4,s_1,) = s_4s_1 = m(s_1,s_4) = s_1s_4. \end{aligned}$$

These are all initial relations. Now one can notice that there is extra elements for A_4 such as:

$$(m-1)(s_3,s_2)m(s_1,s_3) = m(s_2,s_3)(m-1)(s_1,s_3)$$

which gives the relation $s_3s_2s_1s_3 = s_2s_3s_2s_1$, and similarly, $(m-1)(s_4,s_3)(m-1)(s_2,s_4)m(s_1,s_4) = m(s_3,s_4)(m-1)(s_2,s_4)(m-1)(s_1,s_4)$ which gives the $s_4s_3s_2s_1s_4 = s_3s_4s_3s_2s_1$. Thus the second element (s_2,s_4) shows the complexity of this notation in the article [4]. Thus $(m-1)(s_4,s_3)m(s_2,s_4) = m(s_3,s_4)(m-1)(s_2,s_4)$ that gives $s_4s_3s_2s_4 = s_4s_4s_3s_2$.

Now note that if we take the previous pair as an index the second component of a pair can be determined by being even or odd of the associated entry of the Coxeter matrix. But the first component of the chosen can be any element less than the element in the second component. Thus if we write the pairs procedure in the article, the second element of the pair (s_1,s_2) must be s_2 but it says that the element must be s or s'.

3.2. **A counter-example to Hypothesis.** The Coxeter matrix of affine Weyl group $\widetilde{A}_3$ is $M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

Initial relations are
$(s_0)^2 = (s_1)^2 = (s_2)^2 = (s_3)^2 = 1$,
$s_0s_1s_0 = s_1s_0s_1$,
$s_0s_2 = s_2s_0$,
$s_0s_3s_0 = s_3s_0s_3$,
$s_1s_2 = s_1s_2s_1s_2$,
$s_1s_3 = s_3s_1$,
$s_2s_3s_2 = s_3s_2s_3$.

The Groebner-Shirshov Basis for W consists of the elements
$s_0s_0 - 1$,
$s_1s_1 - 1$,
$s_2s_2 - 1$,
$4s_3s_3 - 1$,
$s_1s_0s_1 - s_0s_1s_0$,
$s_2s_1s_2 - s_1s_2s_1$,
$s_3s_2s_3 - s_2s_3s_2$,
$s_3s_0s_3 - s_0s_3s_0$,
$s_3s_1 - s_1s_3$,
$s_2s_0 - s_0s_2$
$s_3s_0s_1s_0 - s_1s_3s_0s_1$,
$s_3s_0s_2s_3s_2 - s_0s_3s_0s_2s_3$,
$s_3s_0s_2s_3s_0 - s_2s_3 * s_0s_2s_3$,
$s_3s_2s_1s_3 - s_2s_3s_2s_1$,
$s_3s_0s_1s_3 - s_0s_3s_0s_1$,
$s_2s_1s_0s_2 - s_1s_2s_1s_0$,
$s_3s_0s_2s_1s_3s_2s_1 - s_0s_3s_0s_2s_1s_3s_2$,
$s_3s_0s_1s_2s_3s_2 - s_0s_3s_0s_1s_2s_3$,
$s_3s_2s_1s_0s_3s_0 - s_2s_3s_2s_1s_0s_3$,
$s_3s_0s_2s_1s_3s_0s_1 - s_2s_3s_0s_2s_1s_3s_0$,
$s_3s_0s_1s_2s_3s_0s_2 - s_0s_3s_0s_1s_2s_3s_0$,
$s_3s_0s_2s_1s_3s_0s_2s_1s_0 - s_0s_3s_0s_2s_1s_3s_0s_2s_1$,
$s_3s_0s_1s_2s_1s_3s_2s_1 - s_0s_3s_0s_1s_2s_1s_3s_2$,
$s_3s_0s_2s_1s_0s_3s_0s_1 - s_2s_3s_0s_2s_1s_0s_3s_0$,
$s_3s_0s_1s_2s_1s_3s_0s_2s_1s_0 - s_0s_3s_0s_1s_2s_1s_3s_0s_2s_1$,
$s_3s_0s_1s_2s_3s_0s_1s_2s_1 - s_0s_3s_0s_1s_2s_3s_0s_1s_2$,
$s_3s_0s_1s_2s_1s_3s_0s_1s_2s_1s_0 - s_0s_3s_0s_1s_2s_1s_3s_0s_1s_2s_1$.

Next let us check if these words are appropriate for the hypothesis or not.
$s_3s_0s_1s_0 - s_1s_3s_0s_1 = (m-1)(s_3, s_1)m(s_0, s_1) - m(s_1, s_3)$,
$s_3s_0s_2s_3s_2 - s_0s_3s_0s_2s_3 = (m-1)(s_3, s_0)m(s_2, s_3) - m(s_0, s_3)(m-1)(s_2, s_3)$,
$s_3s_0s_2s_3s_0 - s_2s_3 * s_0s_2s_3 = (m-1)(s_3, s_2)m(s_0, s_3) - m(s_2, s_3)(m-1)(s_0, s_3)$,
$s_3s_2s_1s_3 - s_2s_3s_2s_1 = (m-1)(s_3, s_2)m(s_0, s_3) - m(s_2, s_3)(m-1)(s_0, s_3)$,
$s_3s_0s_1s_3 - s_0s_3s_0s_1 = (m-1)(s_3, s_0)m(s_1, s_3) - m(s_0, s_3)(m-1)(s_1, s_3)$,
$s_2s_1s_0s_2 - s_1s_2s_1s_0 = (m-1)(s_2, s_1)m(s_0, s_2) - m(s_1, s_2)(m-1)(s_0, s_2)$,
$s_3s_0s_2s_1s_3s_2s_1 - s_0s_3s_0s_2s_1s_3s_2 \equiv (m-1)(s_3, s_0)(m-1)(s_2, s_3)m(s_1, s_2) - m(s_0, s_3)(m-1)m(s_2, s_3)(m-1)(s_1, s_2)$,
$s_3s_0s_1s_2s_3s_2 - s_0s_3s_0s_1s_2s_3 \equiv (m-1)(s_3, s_2)(m-1)(s_1, s_3)m(s_2, s_3) - m(s_2, s_3)(m-1)(s_1, s_3)(m-1)(s_2, s_3)$,
$s_3s_2s_1s_0s_3s_0 - s_2s_3s_2s_1s_0s_3 \equiv (m-1)(s_3, s_2)(m-1)(s_1, s_3)m(s_0, s_3) - m(s_2, s_3)(m-1)(s_1, s_3)(m-1)(s_0, s_3)$.

Thus we see that the elements above in the Groebner-Shirshov basis can be written as the procedure in the hypothesis. But we have a problem for the following element.

Let consider $s_3s_0s_2s_1s_3s_0s_1 - s_2s_3s_0s_2s_1s_3s_0$. Since the second term of the element begins with s_2s_3, we must start by $(m-1)(s_3, s_2) = s_3s_2$.

Since $m_{43} = 3$(s_3 and s_2 are 4th and 3rd elements respectively) is an odd number, we should continue by $m(a, s_0)$ where a is a reflection less than s_0 however this is not allowed in the hypothesis. Actually this term can be written as $(m-1)(s_3, s_2)(m-1)(s_0, s_3)m(s_1, s_0) - m(s_2, s_3)(m-1)(s_0, s_3)$

$(m-1)(s_1, s_0)$, but it does not follow the procedure in the hypothesis. Similarly the following elements can be written as the procedure in the hypothesis.

$s_3s_0s_1s_2s_3s_0s_2 - s_0s_3s_0s_2s_1s_3s_0s_2s_1 \equiv (m-1)(s_3, s_0)(m-1)(s_1, s_3)(m-1)(s_2, s_3)m(s_0, s_2) - m(s_0, s_3)(m-1)(s_1, s_3)(m-1)(s_2, s_3)(m-1)(s_0, s_2)$,

$s_3s_0s_2s_1s_3s_0s_2s_1s_0 - s_0s_3s_0s_2s_1s_3s_0s_2s_1s_1 \equiv (m-1)(s_3,s_0)(m-1)(s_2,s_3)(m-1)(s_1,s_2)m(s_0,s_1) - m(s_0,s_3)(m-1)(s_2,s_3)(m-1)(s_1,s_2)(m-1)(s_0,s_1)$,

$s_3s_0s_1s_2s_1s_3s_2s_1 - s_0s_3s_0s_1s_2s_1s_3s_2 \equiv (m-1)(s_3,s_0)(m-1)(s_1,s_3)(m-1)(s_2,s_3)m(s_1,s_2) - m(s_0,s_3)(m-1)(s_1,s_3)(m-1)(s_2,s_3)(m-1)(s_1,s_2)$.

The element $s_3s_0s_2s_1s_0s_3s_0s_1 - s_2s_3s_0s_2s_1s_0s_3s_0$ is written as $(m-1)(s_3,s_2)(m-1)(s_1,s_3)(m-1)(s_0,s_3)m(s_1,s_0) - m(s_3,s_2)(m-1)(s_1,s_3)(m-1)(s_0,s_3)(m-1)(s_1,s_0)$, but the last term of the expression does not obey the procedure of the hypothesis.

The remaining elements obey the rule in the hypothesis.

$s_3s_0s_1s_2s_1s_3s_0s_2s_1s_0 - s_0s_3s_0s_1s_2s_1s_3s_0r - 2s_1 \equiv (m-1)(s_3,s_0)(m-1)(s_1,s_3)(m-1)(s_2,s_3)(m-1)(s_1,s_2)m(s_0,s_1) - m(s_0,s_3)(m-1)(s_1,s_3)(m-1)(s_2,s_3)(m-1)(s_1,s_2)(m-1)(s_0,s_1)$,

$s_3s_0s_1s_2s_3s_0s_1s_2s_1 - s_0s_3s_0s_1s_2s_3s_0s_1s_2 \equiv (m-1)(s_3,s_0)(m-1)(s_1,s_3)(m-1)(s_2,s_3)(m-1)(s_0,s_2)m(s_1,s_2) - m(s_0,s_3)(m-1)(s_1,s_3)(m-1)(s_2,s_3)(m-1)(s_0,s_2)(m-1)(s_1,s_2)$,

$s_3s_0s_1s_2s_1s_3s_0s_1s_2s_1s_0 - s_0s_3s_0s_1s_2s_1s_3s_0s_1s_2s_1 \equiv (m-1)(s_3,s_0)(m-1)(s_1,s_3)(m-1)(s_2,s_3)(m-1)(s_0,s_2)(m-1)(s_1,s_2)m(s_0,s_1) - m(s_0,s_3)(m-1)(s_1,s_3)(m-1)(s_2,s_3)(m-1)(s_0,s_2)(m-1)(s_1,s_2)m(s_0,s_1)$.

So we conclude that two elements can not be expressed as the procedure in the hypothesis. With this counter-example, we obtain that the hypothesis does not hold for positive degenerate affine Weyl groups.

4. CALCULATION OF GROEBNER-SHIRSHOV BASES FOR INFINITE WEYL GROUPS.

In this section our aim is to calculate Grobner-Shirshov basis of positive degenerate infinite affine Weyl group $\widetilde{A}_n$ which is isomorphic to semi-direct product of permutation group S_n with translation group $\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$, where $\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$ is the eigen-module of the action of the permutation group on its representation module $\mathbb{Z}^n$. It has a presentation with generators $\{s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_n\}$ and relations

$$\begin{aligned} s_is_i &= 1,\ i=0,\ldots,n; \\ s_is_j &= s_js_i,\ i=0,\ldots,n-2, j-i>1; \\ s_is_{i+1}s_i &= s_{i+1}s_is_{i+1},\ i=0,\ldots,n-1 \text{ and} \\ s_0s_ns_0 &= s_ns_0s_n. \end{aligned}$$

Here we will choose the linear ordering $s_0 > s_1 > s_2 > \cdots > s_n$ on the generators on the contrary of the ordering chosen by Bokut and Shiao in [4].

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\widetilde{A_n}$ be affine Weyl group generated by $s_0, s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n$ with defining relations*
$s_i^2 = 1, i = 0, \ldots, n$
$s_is_j = s_js_i, i = 0, \ldots, n-2, j = 2, \ldots, n, j-i > 1$
$s_is_{i+1}s_i = s_{i+1}s_is_{i+1}, i = 0, \ldots, n-1$ *and*
$s_0s_ns_0 = s_ns_0s_n$.
Let us define the words

$$s_{ij} = \begin{cases} s_is_{i-1}\cdots s_j, & i>j; \\ s_i, & i=j; \\ s_is_{i+1}\cdots s_j, & i<j. \end{cases}$$

and $\widehat{s}_{ij} = s_is_{i-1}s_{i+1}s_i \cdots s_{j+1}s_j$ *where* $j \geq i-1$.

If we identify a relation $u = v$ with polynomial $u - v$, a Gröbner-Shirshov basis for $\widetilde{A_n}$ with respect to Deglex order with $s_0 > s_1 > \cdots > s_n$ consists of initial relations together with the following polynomials.

(1) $s_{ij}s_i - s_{i+1}s_{ij}$ *where* $j > i, i = 0, \ldots, n-2, j = i+2, \ldots, n$ *when* $i = 0$ *and* $j \neq n$.
(2) $s_0s_{nk}s_j - s_js_0s_{nk}$ *where* $j = 2, \ldots, n-2,\ k = n, n-1, \ldots, j+2$.
(3) $s_0s_{nj}s_{j+1} - s_js_0s_{nj}$ *where* $j = n-1, \ldots, 0$.
(4) $s_0s_{nj}s_0 - s_ns_0s_{nj}$ *where* $j = 2, \ldots, n-1$.
(5) $s_0s_{nj}\widehat{s}_{1k}s_{k+1} - s_ns_0s_{nj}\widehat{s}_{1k}$ *where* $j = 2, \ldots, n-1, n,$ *and* $k = 0, \ldots, n-1$.
(6) $s_{0j}s_ns_0s_n - s_1s_{0j}s_ns_0$ *where* $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$.
(7) $s_0s_{nj}s_1s_0s_{nk}s_1 - s_ns_0s_{nj}s_1s_0s_{nk}$ *where* $j = 2, \ldots, n-1,$ *and* $k = j-1, \ldots, n$.
(8) $s_0s_{nj}s_1s_0s_{nk}\widehat{s}_{2l}s_{l+1} - s_ns_0s_{nj}s_1s_0s_{nk}\widehat{s}_{2l}$ *where* $j = 2, \ldots, n-1,\ k = j+1, \ldots, n,$ *and* $l = 1, \ldots, n-1$.

(9) $s_{0j}s_ns_ks_0s_{nk} - s_1s_{0j}s_ns_0s_{n-1,k}s_{k+1}$ *where* $j = 1,\dots,n-1$, *and* $k = n-1, n-2, \dots, j+2$.

(10) $s_{0j}s_ns_{kl}s_0s_{nl} - s_1s_{0j}s_ns_0s_{n-1,l}s_{k+1,l+1}$ *where* $j = 1,\dots,n-1$, $k = n-1, n-2, \dots, j+2$ *and* $l = k-1,\dots,2$.

Proof. The proof constitute two parts. In Part I we investigate where the new binomials come from.

(1) $s_{ij}s_i - s_{i+1}s_{ij}$ where $j > i, i = 0,\dots,n-2, j = i+2,\dots,n$ when $i = 0$ and $j \neq n$.

For $j = i+2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle s_is_{i+1}s_i - s_{i+1}s_is_{i+1}; s_is_{i+2} - s_{i+2}s_i\rangle &= s_is_{i+1}s_{i+2}s_i - s_{i+1}s_is_{i+1}s_{i+2}\\ &= s_{i,i+2}s_i - s_{i+1}s_{i,i+2}.\end{aligned}$$

For $j = i+3,\dots,n$ the others are obtained by induction on j.

$$\langle s_{i,j-1}s_i - s_{i+1}s_{i,j-1}; s_is_j - s_js_i\rangle = s_{ij}s_i - s_{i+1}s_{ij}.$$

(2) $s_0s_{nk}s_j - s_js_0s_{nk}$ where $j = 2,\dots,n-2$, $k = n, n-1,\dots,j+2$.

For $k = n$ and $j = 2,\dots,n-2$ we have

$$\langle s_0s_j - s_js_0; s_js_n - s_ns_j\rangle = s_0s_ns_j - s_js_0s_n.$$

For $k = n-1,\dots,j+2$ the others are obtained by induction on k.

$$\langle s_0s_{n,k+1}s_j - s_js_0s_{n,k+1}; s_js_k - s_ks_j\rangle = s_0s_{nk}s_j - s_js_0s_{nk}.$$

(3) $s_0s_{nj}s_{j+1} - s_js_0s_{nj}$ where $j = n-1,\dots,0$.

For $j = n-1$ we have

$$\langle s_0s_{n-1} - s_{n-1}s_0; s_{n-1}s_ns_{n-1} - s_ns_{n-1}s_n\rangle = s_0s_ns_{n-1}s_n - s_{n-1}s_0s_ns_{n-1}.$$

The others are obtained by composition of the element of the type (2) taking $k = j+2$.

$$\langle s_0s_{n,j+2}s_j - s_js_0s_{n,j+2}; s_js_{j+1}s_j - s_{j+1}s_js_{j+1}\rangle = s_0s_{nj}s_{j+1} - s_js_0s_{nj}.$$

(4) $s_0s_{nj}s_0 - s_ns_0s_{nj}$ where $j = 2,\dots,n-1$.

For $j = n-1$ we have

$$\langle s_0s_ns_0 - s_ns_0s_n; s_0s_{n-1} - s_{n-1}s_0\rangle = s_0s_ns_{n-1}s_0 - s_ns_0s_ns_{n-1}.$$

For $j = n-2,\dots,2$ the others are obtained by induction on j.

$$\langle s_0s_{n,j+1}s_0 - s_ns_0s_{n,j+1}; s_0s_j - s_js_0\rangle = s_0s_{nj}s_0 - s_ns_0s_{nj}.$$

(5) $s_0s_{nj}\widehat{s}_{1k}s_{k+1} - s_ns_0s_{nj}\widehat{s}_{1k}$ where $j = 2,\dots,n-1,n$, and $k = 0,\dots,n-1$.

For $j = n$ and $k = 0$ we have

$$\langle s_0s_ns_0 - s_ns_0s_n; s_0s_1s_0 - s_1s_0s_1\rangle = s_0s_ns_1s_0s_1 - s_ns_0s_ns_1s_0.$$

For $j = n-1,\dots,2$ and $k = 0$ we have

$$\langle s_0s_{nj}s_0 - s_ns_0s_{nj}; s_0s_1s_0 - s_1s_0s_1\rangle = s_0s_{nj}s_1s_0s_1 - s_ns_0s_{nj}s_1s_0.$$

The others are obtained by induction on k.

$$\langle s_0s_{nj}\widehat{s}_{1,k-1}s_k - s_ns_0s_{nj}\widehat{s}_{1,k-1}; s_ks_{k+1}s_k - s_{k+1}s_ks_{k+1}\rangle = s_0s_{nj}\widehat{s}_{1k}s_{k+1} - s_ns_0s_{nj}\widehat{s}_{1k}.$$

(6) $s_{0j}s_ns_0s_n - s_1s_{0j}s_ns_0$ where $j = 1,\dots,n-1$.

For $j = 1$ we have

$$\langle s_0s_1s_0 - s_1s_0s_1; s_0s_ns_0 - s_ns_0s_n\rangle = s_0s_1s_ns_0s_n - s_1s_0s_1s_ns_0.$$

The others are obtained by composition of the element of the type (1) taking $i = 0$.

$$\langle s_{0j}s_0 - s_1s_{0j}; s_0s_ns_0 - s_ns_0s_n\rangle = s_{0j}s_ns_0s_n - s_1s_{0j}s_ns_0$$

where $j = 2,\dots,n-1$.

(7) $s_0s_{nj}s_1s_0s_{nk}s_1 - s_ns_0s_{nj}s_1s_0s_{nk}$ where $j = 2,\dots,n-1$, and $k = j-1,\dots,n$.

For $k = n$ it is obtained by composition of the element of the type (5) taking $k = 0$.

$$\langle s_0s_{nj}s_1s_0s_1 - s_ns_0s_{nj}s_1s_0; s_1s_n - s_ns_1\rangle = s_0s_{nj}s_1s_0s_ns_1 - s_ns_0s_{nj}s_1s_0s_n.$$

Note that we do not consider the case where $j = n$ because one can show that it reduces to zero.

The others are obtained by induction on k.

$$\langle s_0 s_{nj} s_1 s_0 s_{n,k+1} s_1 - s_n s_0 s_{nj} s_1 s_0 s_{n,k+1}; s_1 s_k - s_k s_1 \rangle = s_0 s_{nj} s_1 s_0 s_{nk} s_1 - s_n s_0 s_{nj} s_1 s_0 s_{nk}$$

where $k = n-1, \ldots, j-1$.

(8) $s_0 s_{nj} s_1 s_0 s_{nk} \widehat{s}_{2l} s_{l+1} - s_n s_0 s_{nj} s_1 s_0 s_{nk} \widehat{s}_{2l}$ where $j = 2, \ldots, n-1$, $k = j+1, \ldots, n$, and $l = 1, \ldots, n-1$.

For $l = 1$ it is obtained by composition of the element of the type (7).

$$\langle s_0 s_{nj} s_1 s_0 s_{nk} s_1 - s_n s_0 s_{nj} s_1 s_0 s_{nk}; s_1 s_2 s_1 - s_2 s_1 s_2 \rangle = s_0 s_{nj} s_1 s_0 s_{nk} s_2 s_1 s_2 - s_n s_0 s_{nj} s_1 s_0 s_{nk} s_2 s_1.$$

The furthers are obtained by using the induction on l.

$$\langle s_0 s_{nj} s_1 s_0 s_{nk} \widehat{s}_{2,l-1} s_l - s_n s_0 s_{nj} s_1 s_0 s_{nk} \widehat{s}_{2,l-1}; s_l s_{l+1} s_l - s_{l+1} s_l s_{l+1} \rangle = s_0 s_{nj} s_1 s_0 s_{nk} \widehat{s}_{2l} s_{l+1} - s_n s_0 s_{nj} s_1 s_0 s_{nk} \widehat{s}_{2l}.$$

(9) $s_{0j} s_n s_k s_0 s_{nk} - s_1 s_{0j} s_n s_0 s_{n-1,k} s_{k+1}$ where $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$, and $k = n-1, n-2, \ldots, j+2$.
It is obtained by composition of the elements of the types (6) and (3) respectively.

$$\langle s_{0j} s_n s_0 s_n - s_1 s_{0j} s_n s_0; s_0 s_{nk} s_{k+1} - s_k s_0 s_{nk} \rangle = s_{0j} s_n s_k s_0 s_{nk} - s_1 s_{0j} s_n s_0 s_{n-1,k} s_{k+1}.$$

(10) $s_{0j} s_n s_{kl} s_0 s_{nl} - s_1 s_{0j} s_n s_0 s_{n-1,l} s_{k+1,l+1}$ where $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$, $k = n-1, n-2, \ldots, j+2$ and $l = k-1, \ldots, 2$.
For $l = k-1$, it is obtained by composition of the elements of the types (9) and (3) respectively.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle s_{0j} s_n s_k s_0 s_{nk} - s_1 s_{0j} s_n s_0 s_{n-1,k} s_{k+1}; s_0 s_{n,k-1} s_k - s_{k-1} s_0 s_{n,k-1} \rangle &= s_{0j} s_n s_k s_{k-1} s_0 s_{n,k-1} - s_1 s_{0j} s_n s_{n-1,k} \\ &\quad s_{k+1} s_{k-1} s_k \\ &= s_{0j} s_n s_k s_{k-1} s_0 s_{n,k-1} - s_1 s_{0j} s_n s_{n-1,k-1} \\ &\quad s_{k+1} s_k. \end{aligned}$$

The others are obtained by induction on l.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle s_{0j} s_n s_{k,l+1} s_0 s_{n,l+1} - s_1 s_{0j} s_n s_0 s_{n-1,l+1} s_{k+1,l+2}; s_0 s_{nl} s_{l+1} - s_l s_0 s_{nl} \rangle &= s_{0j} s_n s_{k,l+1} s_l s_0 s_{nl} - s_1 s_{0j} s_n s_0 s_{n-1,l+1} \\ &\quad s_{k+1,l+2} s_l s_{l+1} \\ &= s_{0j} s_n s_{kl} s_0 s_{nl} - s_1 s_{0j} s_n s_0 s_{n-1,l} s_{k+1,l+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Part II : Reduction.

Now we have to show that all other compositions are trivial (reduces to zero) relative to initial relations including Groebner-Shirshov basis. Notice that a composition $\langle f; g \rangle$ is trivial if leading words of f and g has no overlap. Because of that, we will only look compositions with overlap leading words.

In this part of the proof we have a lemma which will help us to reduce some compositions to zero easily.

Lemma 4.2. *Let* $f = f_1 - f_2$, $g = g_1 - g_2$ *and* $h = h_1 - h_2$ *be binomials. Assume that* $\mathrm{overlap}(f_1, g_1) = v_1$, *that is* $f_1 = a_1 v_1$ *and* $g_1 = v_1 b_1$, *and* $\mathrm{overlap}(g_1, h_1) = v_2$, *that is* $g_1 = a_2 v_2$ *and* $h_1 = v_2 b_2$. *Define*

$$\langle f; g \rangle = (f_1 - f_2) b_1 - a_1 (g_1 - g_2) = a_1 g_2 - f_2 b_1$$

and

$$\langle g; h \rangle = (g_1 - g_2) b_2 - a_2 (h_1 - h_2) = a_2 h_2 - g_2 b_2.$$

If $\langle f; g \rangle$ *is trivial and* $a_2 = v_1 \bar{a}_2$, *then* $\langle f; a_2 h_2 - g_2 b_2 \rangle$ *is also trivial.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f; a_2 h_2 - g_2 b_2 \rangle &= (f_1 - f_2) \bar{a}_2 h_2 - a_1 (a_2 h_2 - g_2 b_2) \\ &= a_1 g_2 b_2 - f_2 \bar{a}_2 h_2 \\ &= a_1 g_2 b_2 + f_2 \bar{a}_2 (h_1 - h_2) - f_2 \bar{a}_2 h_1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $h_1 = v_2 b_2$ and $b_1 = \bar{a}_2 h_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle f; a_2h_2 - g_2b_2\rangle &= a_1g_2b_2 + f_2\bar{a}_2(h_1 - h_2) - f_2b_1b_2\\ &= (a_1g_2 - f_2b_1)b_2 + f_2\bar{a}_2(h_1 - h_2)\\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

□

Now we can start to show that the remaining composition reduces to zero. First of all we look the composition where $s_0s_1s_0 - s_1s_0s_1$ is the left element. Since almost all element types start with s_0, we have a lot compositions to check. However, by Lemma 4.2 we will only show three such composition reducing to zero. The others will also reduce to zero as an application of Lemma 4.2. So let us check three such compositions.

$$\begin{aligned}\langle s_0s_1s_0 - s_1s_0s_1; s_0s_1s_2s_0 - s_1s_0s_1s_2\rangle &= s_0s_1s_1s_0s_1s_2 - s_1s_0s_1s_1s_2s_0\\ &= s_0(s_1s_1 - 1)s_0s_1s_2 - s_1s_0(s_1s_1 - 1)s_2s_0\\ &+ (s_0s_0 - 1)s_1s_2 - s_1(s_0s_2 - s_2s_0)s_0 - s_1s_2(s_0s_0 - 1)\\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

$$\langle s_0s_1s_0 - s_1s_0s_1; s_0s_j - s_js_0\rangle = s_0s_1s_js_0 - s_1s_0s_1s_j$$

Notice that for $j = 2$ this is relation with type (1) choosing $i = 0, j = 2$. If $j \neq 2$ then

$$\begin{aligned}s_0s_1s_js_0 - s_1s_0s_1s_j &= s_0(s_1s_j - s_js_1)s_0 - s_1s_0(s_1s_j - s_js_1) + (s_0s_j - s_js_0)s_1s_0\\ &- s_1(s_0s_j - s_js_0)s_1 - (s_1s_j - s_js_1)s_0s_1 + s_j(s_0s_1s_0 - s_1s_0s_1).\end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\langle s_0s_1s_0 - s_1s_0s_1; s_0s_ns_0 - s_ns_0s_n\rangle = s_0s_ns_1s_0s_1 - s_ns_0s_ns_1s_0$$

which is relation with type (6) choosing $j = 1$.

The other compositions with left element $s_0s_1s_0 - s_1s_0s_1$ reduce to zero as an application of Lemma 4.2.

Now consider compositions in which $s_0s_ns_0 - s_ns_0s_n$ is left element. By above argument we only have to look the following compositions.

$$\begin{aligned}\langle s_0s_ns_0 - s_ns_0s_n; s_0s_1s_2s_0 - s_1s_0s_1s_2\rangle &= s_0s_ns_1s_0s_1s_2 - s_ns_0s_ns_1s_2s_0\\ &= (s_0s_ns_1s_0s_1 - s_ns_0s_ns_1s_0)s_2 + s_ns_0s_ns_1(s_0s_2 - s_2s_0),\end{aligned}$$

where $s_0s_ns_1s_0s_1 - s_ns_0s_ns_1s_0$ is relation with type (5) choosing $k = 0, j = n$.

We also have

$$\langle s_0s_ns_0 - s_ns_0s_n; s_0s_j - s_js_0\rangle = s_0s_ns_js_0 - s_ns_0s_ns_j.$$

Notice that for $j = n-1$ this is relation with type (4) choosing $j = n-2$. If $j \neq n-1$ then

$$\begin{aligned}s_0s_ns_js_0 - s_ns_0s_ns_j &= (s_0s_ns_j - s_js_0s_n)s_0 - s_ns_0(s_ns_j - s_js_n) - s_n(s_0s_j - s_js_0)s_n\\ &+ (s_js_n - s_ns_j)s_0s_n + s_j(s_0s_ns_0 - s_ns_0s_n).\end{aligned}$$

The other compositions with left element $s_0s_ns_0 - s_ns_0s_n$ reduce to zero as an application of Lemma 4.2.

Now consider compositions with left element $s_{0j}s_0 - s_1s_{0j}$ which is relation with type (1) choosing $i = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}\langle s_{0j}s_0 - s_1s_{0j}; s_0s_1s_0 - s_1s_0s_1\rangle &= s_{0j}s_1s_0s_1 - s_1s_{0j}s_1s_0\\ &= s_0(s_{1j}s_1 - s_2s_{1j})s_0s_1 - s_1s_0(s_{1j}s_1 - s_2s_{1j})s_0\\ &+ (s_0s_2 - s_2s_0)s_{1j}s_0s_1 - s_1(s_0s_2 - s_2s_0)s_{1j}s_0\\ &+ s_2(s_{0j}s_1 - s_1s_{0j})s_1 - s_1s_2(s_{0j}s_0 - s_1s_{0j})\\ &+ s_2s_1s_0(s_{1j}s_1 - s_2s_{1j}) - (s_1s_2s_1 - s_2s_1s_2)s_{0j}\\ &+ s_2s_1(s_0s_2 - s_2s_0)s_{1j}.\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\langle s_{0j}s_0 - s_1s_{0j}; s_0s_ns_0 - s_ns_0s_n\rangle = s_{0j}s_ns_0s_n - s_1s_{0j}s_ns_0$$

which is relation with type (6).

$$\langle s_{0j}s_0 - s_1s_{0j}; s_0s_k - s_ks_0\rangle = s_{0j}s_ks_0 - s_1s_{0j}s_k.$$

We have the following cases to be analyzed:

Case 1) If $k = j$ then

$$s_{0j}s_js_0 - s_1s_{0j}s_j = s_{0,j-1}(s_js_j - 1)s_0 - s_1s_{0,j-1}(s_js_j - 1) - (s_{0,j-1}s_0 - s_1s_{0,j-1} = 0.$$

Case 2) If $k = j+1$ then it just gives $s_{0,j+1}s_0 - s_1s_{0,j+1}$ which is relation with type (1).

Case 3) If $k > j+1$ then

$$s_{0j}s_ks_0 - s_1s_{0j}s_k = s_k(s_{0j}s_0 - s_1s_{0j})$$

using commutativity of s_k.

Case 4) If $k < j$ then

$$\begin{aligned} s_{0j}s_ks_0 - s_1s_{0j}s_k &= s_{0,k+1}s_ks_{k+2,j}s_0 - s_1s_{0,k+1}s_ks_{k+2,j} \quad \text{(by commutativity of } s_k)\\ &= s_{0,k-1}(s_ks_{k+1}s_k - s_{k+1}s_ks_{k+1})s_{k+2,j}s_0 - s_1s_{0,k-1}(s_ks_{k+1}s_k - s_{k+1}s_ks_{k+1})s_{k+2,j}\\ &+ s_{k+1}(s_{0j}s_0 - s_1s_{0j}). \end{aligned}$$

The other compositions with left element $s_{0j}s_0 - s_1s_{0j}$ reduce to zero as an application of Lemma 4.2.

Now consider compositions in which $s_0s_{nj}s_0 - s_ns_0s_{nj}$ is left element.

$$\langle s_0s_{nj}s_0 - s_ns_0s_{nj}; s_0s_1s_0 - s_1s_0s_1\rangle = s_0s_{nj}s_1s_0s_1 - s_ns_0s_{nj}s_1s_0$$

which is relation with type (5) choosing $k = 0, j = n-1, \dots, 2$.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle s_0s_{nj}s_0 - s_ns_0s_{nj}; s_0s_ns_0 - s_ns_0s_n\rangle &= s_0s_{nj}s_ns_0s_n - s_ns_0s_{nj}s_ns_0\\ &= s_0s_ns_{n-1}s_ns_{n-2,j}s_0s_n - s_ns_0s_ns_{n-1}s_{n-2,j}s_0 \quad \text{(by commutativity of } s_n)\\ &= (s_0s_ns_{n-1}s_n - s_{n-1}s_0s_ns_{n-1})s_{n-2,j}s_0s_n - s_n(s_0s_ns_{n-1}s_n -\\ &s_{n-1}s_0s_ns_{n-1})s_{n-2,j}s_0\\ &+ s_{n-1}(s_0s_{nj}s_0 - s_ns_0s_{nj})s_n + s_{n-1}s_n(s_0s_ns_{n-1}s_n - s_{n-1}s_0s_ns_{n-1})s_{n-2,j}\\ &- s_ns_{n-1}(s_0s_{nj}s_0 - s_ns_0s_{nj}) + (s_{n-1}s_ns_{n-1} - s_ns_{n-1}s_n)s_0s_{nj}, \end{aligned}$$

where $s_0s_ns_{n-1}s_n - s_{n-1}s_0s_ns_{n-1}$ is relation with type (3) choosing $j = n-1$.

$$\langle s_0s_{nj}s_0 - s_ns_0s_{nj}; s_0s_k - s_ks_0\rangle = s_0s_{nj}s_ks_0 - s_ns_0s_{nj}s_k.$$

We have the following cases to be analyzed:

Case 1). Let $k < j-1$. Then

$$s_0s_{nj}s_ks_0 - s_ns_0s_{nj}s_k = (s_0s_{n,j}s_k - s_ks_0s_{nj})s_0 + s_k(s_0s_{n,j}s_0 - s_ns_0s_{nj}).$$

Case 2). Let $k = j-1$. Then

$$s_0s_{nj}s_{j-1}s_0 - s_ns_0s_{nj}s_{j-1} = s_0s_{n,j-1}s_0 - s_ns_0s_{n,j-1}.$$

Case 3). Let $k = j$. Then

$$s_0s_{nj}s_js_0 - s_ns_0s_{nj}s_j = s_0s_{n,j+1}(s_js_j - 1)s_0 - s_ns_0s_{n,j+1}(s_js_j - 1) + (s_0s_{n,j+1}s_0 - s_ns_0s_{n,j+1}).$$

Case 4). Let $k > j$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} s_0s_{nj}s_ks_0 - s_ns_0s_{nj}s_k &= s_0s_{n,j+1}(s_js_k - s_ks_j)s_0 - s_ns_0s_{n,j+1}(s_js_k - s_ks_j)\\ &+ s_0s_{n,j+1}s_k(s_js_0 - s_0s_j) + (s_0s_{n,j+1}s_ks_0 - s_ns_0s_{n,j+1}s_k)s_j. \end{aligned}$$

The other compositions with left element $s_0s_j - s_js_0$ reduce to zero as an application of Lemma 4.2.

$$\begin{aligned}\langle s_0s_j - s_js_0; s_js_k - s_ks_j\rangle &= s_0s_ks_j - s_js_0s_k\\ &= (s_0s_k - s_ks_0)s_j - s_j(s_0s_k - s_ks_0)\\ &- (s_js_k - s_ks_j)s_0 + s_k(s_0s_j - s_js_0).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly it can be shown that the following listed compositions reduce to zero as an application of Lemma 4.2.

$$\langle s_0s_{n,k+1}s_j - s_js_0s_{n,k+1}; s_js_t - s_ts_js_1\rangle \equiv 0 \,\mathrm{Mod}\,(\text{the listed Groebner-Shirshov basis}) \quad \text{for} \quad t \neq k.$$

$$\langle s_0s_{nk}s_j - s_js_0s_{nk}; s_js_{j+1}s_j - s_{j+1}s_js_{j+1}\rangle \equiv 0 \,\mathrm{Mod}\,(\text{the listed GS- basis}) \quad \text{for} \quad k \neq j+2.$$

$$\langle s_0s_{nj}\hat{s}_{1k}s_{k+1} - s_ns_0s_{nj}\hat{s}_{1k}; s_ks_t - s_ts_k\rangle \equiv 0 \,\mathrm{Mod}\,(\text{the listed GS- basis}) \quad \text{for} \quad k \neq 1, t \neq n.$$

$$\langle s_0s_{nj}s_1s_0s_{nk}s_1 - s_ns_0s_{nj}s_1s_0; s_1s_k - s_ks_1\rangle \equiv 0 \,\mathrm{Mod}\,(\text{the listed GS- basis}) \quad \text{for} \quad k = j-2, j-3, \ldots, 3.$$

$$\langle s_0s_{nl}s_1s_0s_{nk}\hat{s}_{2l}s_{l+1} - s_ns_0s_{nj}s_1s_0s_{nk}\hat{s}_{2l}; s_{l+1}s_t - s_ts_{l+1}\rangle \equiv 0 \,\mathrm{Mod}\,(\text{the listed GS- basis}).$$

$$\langle s_{0j}s_ns_0s_n - s_1s_{0j}s_ns_0; s_0s_{nk}s_{k+1} - s_ks_0s_{nk}\rangle \equiv 0 \,\mathrm{Mod}\,(\text{the listed GS- basis}) \quad \text{for} \quad k = j+1, j, \ldots, 1.$$

$$\langle s_{0j}s_ns_ks_0s_{nk} - s_1s_{0j}s_0s_{n-1,k}s_{k+1}; s_ks_t - s_ts_k\rangle \equiv 0 \,\mathrm{Mod}\,(\text{the listed GS- basis}).$$

$$\langle s_{0j}s_ns_ks_0s_{nk} - s_1s_{0j}s_0s_{n-1,k}s_{k+1}; s_ks_{k+1}s_k - s_{k+1}s_ks_{k+1}\rangle \equiv 0 \,\mathrm{Mod}\,(\text{the listed GS- basis}).$$

$$\langle s_{0j}s_ns_{nk}s_0s_{nl} - s_1s_{0j}s_ns_0s_{n-1,l}s_{k+1,l+1}; s_ls_{l+1}s_l - s_{l+1}s_ls_{l+1}\rangle \equiv 0 \,\mathrm{Mod}\,(\text{the listed GS- basis}).$$

$$\langle s_{0j}s_ns_{nk}s_0s_{nl} - s_1s_{0j}s_ns_0s_{n-1,l}s_{k+1,l+1}; s_ls_t - s_ts_l\rangle \equiv 0 \,\mathrm{Mod}\,(\text{the listed GS- basis}).$$

It completes the proof.

□

4.1. **Representation of Reduced Elements in the group.** The Composition Diamond Lemma tells that the irreducible elements with respect to the Groebner-Shirsov basis give us a representation for affine Weyl group $\widetilde{A}_n$. Since we choose the total ordering $s_0 > s_1 > \cdots > s_n$ on the generating set, the elements beginning with s_0 can not have conjugate elements beginning with other generators. Therefore the elements beginning with s_0 give us a representation of elements with minimal length of in the flag subset $\widetilde{A}_n/A_n$.

As a consequence of our calculations it is seen that this representation can be expressed by words which are obtained by juxtaposing of some blocks of the elements.

The first block in the row is a word satisfying the ordering $\{s_0, s_1, \ldots s_n\}$ and the following conditions:
(i) s_is_j not in that block except s_0s_n, for $j - i > 1$, $i = 0, \ldots, n-2$,
(ii) $s_0s_ns_j$ is not contained in the block for $j = 2, \ldots, n-2$, and
(iii) if the word is repeated in the sequent block again, the conditions still hold.

By a simple calculation, we have these blocks in the following:
$s_0s_ns_{n-1}s_1s_2\cdots s_{n-2}$,
$s_js_{j+1}\cdots s_0s_ns_{n-1}s_1s_2\cdots s_{j-1}$,
$s_1s_2\cdots s_{n-2}s_0s_ns_{n-1}$,
$s_{n-1}s_1s_2\cdots s_{n-2}s_0s_n$,
$s_ns_{n-1}s_1s_2\cdots s_{n-2}s_0$,
$s_0s_ns_{n-1}s_{n-2}\cdots s_1$,

$s_j s_{j-1} \cdots s_1 s_0 s_n s_{n-1} s_{n-2} \cdots s_{j+1}$,
$s_{n-1} s_{n-2} \cdots s_1 s_0 s_n$,
$s_n s_{n-1} s_{n-2} \cdots s_1 s_0$,
$s_{n-1} s_0 s_n s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{n-2}$,
$s_j s_{j+1} \cdots s_{n-1} s_0 s_n s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{j-1}$,
$s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{n-2} s_{n-1} s_0 s_n$,
$s_n s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{n-2} s_{n-1} s_0$,
$s_0 s_n s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{n-2} s_{n-1}$,
$s_{n-1} s_n s_0 s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{n-2}$,
$s_j s_{j+1} \cdots s_{n-1} s_n s_0 s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{j-1}$,
$s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{n-2} s_{n-1} s_n s_0$,
$s_0 s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{n-2} s_{n-1} s_n$,
$s_n s_0 s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{n-2} s_{n-1}$.

If we give the examples of this type words in the group $\widetilde{A}_4$ the words beginning with s_0 are the following: $s_0s_1s_2s_3s_4, s_0s_4s_1s_2s_3, s_0s_4s_3s_1s_2, s_0s_4s_3s_2s_1$.

The other blocks satisfying the rules above can be easily written as above.

Also there are blocks apart from this type blocks, with the following properties:
(i*) they begin with s_k and end with s_k again,
(ii*) $3 \leq \ell(\text{block}) \leq n$.

These blocks can be written as s_kxs_k where x is the string of generators $\{s_0, \ldots, s_{k-1}, s_{k+1}, \ldots, s_n\}$ with length $3 \leq \ell(x) \leq n$.

If we give the example of this type words in the group $\widetilde{A}_4$ the word beginning with s_0 is $s_0s_4s_1s_0$.

Of course there are a lot of blocks beginning with s_1, s_2, s_3 or s_4. They can be written with respect to the rules above.

The representation of elements is made up of the juxtaposing of obtained blocks. But when the blocks are juxtaposing, it is point out that no leading terms of elements of Groebner-Shirshov basis must be formed in the strings so that the obtained word can not be reduced.

For example the block $(s_0s_1s_2s_3s_4)$ can take along no block except itself.

Therefore we have the following elements in the flag subset $\widetilde{A}_4/A_4$:
$(s_0s_1s_2s_3s_4)^p$,
$(s_0s_1s_2s_3s_4)^p s_0$,
$(s_0s_1s_2s_3s_4)^p s_0s_1$,
$(s_0s_1s_2s_3s_4)^p s_0s_1s_2$,
$(s_0s_1s_2s_3s_4)^p s_0s_1s_2s_3$.

In the another example the block $(s_0s_4s_1s_2s_3)$ can be followed by itself and the block $s_4s_0s_4$, but we see that the last block can not be followed by a block beginning with s_3 because the block $s_0s_4s_1s_2s_3s_4s_0s_4s_3$ is the leading term of an element in the Groebner-Shirshov basis. Then the block $s_0s_4s_1s_2s_3s_4s_0s_4$ can be followed by a block beginning with no s_3. For example it can be $s_1s_2s_3s_4s_0$. Since this block can be followed by itself we have the following reduced elements in the flag subset $\widetilde{A}_4/A_4$:
$(s_0s_4s_1s_2s_3)^n$,
$(s_0s_4s_1s_2s_3)^n s_0$,
$(s_0s_4s_1s_2s_3)^n s_0s_4$,
$(s_0s_4s_1s_2s_3)^n s_0s_4s_1$,
$(s_0s_4s_1s_2s_3)^n s_0s_4s_1s_2$,
$(s_0s_4s_1s_2s_3)^n (s_4s_0s_4)(s_1s_2s_3s_4s_0)^p$,
$(s_0s_4s_1s_2s_3)^n (s_4s_0s_4)(s_1s_2s_3s_4s_0)^p s_1$,
$(s_0s_4s_1s_2s_3)^n (s_4s_0s_4)(s_1s_2s_3s_4s_0)^p s_1s_2$,
$(s_0s_4s_1s_2s_3)^n (s_4s_0s_4)(s_1s_2s_3s_4s_0)^p s_1s_2s_3$,
$(s_0s_4s_1s_2s_3)^n (s_4s_0s_4)(s_1s_2s_3s_4s_0)^p s_1s_2s_3s_4$.

Acknowledgement: A part of this work was completed while the first author were visiting the Mathematical Research Institute, University Putra Malaysia. Therefore the authors gratefully acknowledge that this research was partially supported by the University Putra Malaysia under the e-Science Grant 06-01-04-SF0115 and the Research University Grant Scheme 05-01-09-0720RU.

REFERENCES

[1] W.W. Adams & P.Looustaunau, *An Introduction to Grobner Basis,Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Vol.3*, Providence, RI,AMS, 1996.
[2] G. M. Bergman, *The diamond lemma for ring theory, Adv. Math. 29(1978)*, 178–218.
[3] B. Buchberger, *An algorithmic criteria for the solvability of algebraic systems of equations*, Aequationes Math. 4 (1970), 374–383.
[4] I. A. Bokut & L. S. Shiao, *Groebner-Shirshov bases for Coxeter groups*, Comm. in Algebra 29(9)(2001), 4305–4319.
[5] D.Cox, J.Little & D.O'Shea, *Ideals, Varieties and Algorithms*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992.
[6] D.Cox, J.Little & D.O'Shea, *Using Algebraic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
[7] M. H. A. Newman, *On theories with a combinatorial definition of equivalence*, Ann. of Math. 43(1942), 223–243.
[8] A. I. Shirshov, *Some algorithm problems for Lie algebras*, Sibirsk. Mat. Z. 3 (1962), 292–296.
[9] V. A. Ufnarovski, *Combinatorial and Asymptotic Methods in Algebra, Algebra VI*, Encyclopedia of Mathematical Science, Vol. 57, Springer–Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1995.

[1,3] AIBU GOLKOY KAMPUSU, BOLU 14280, TURKEY.
E-mail address: [1,3] `cenap@ibu.edu.tr` ; `yerol@ibu.edu.tr`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL RESEARCH, UNIVERSITY PUTRA MALAYSIA, 43400 UPM, SERDANG, SELANGOR, MALAYSIA
E-mail address: [2] `akilicman@putra.upm.edu.my`